

IZA DP No. 321

Is there a Return-Risk Link in Education?

Pedro Telhado Pereira
Pedro Silva Martins

July 2001

Is There a Return – Risk Link in Education?

(forthcoming in: *Economic Letters*)

Pedro Telhado Pereira

Universidade Nova de Lisboa, CEPR and IZA, Bonn

Pedro Silva Martins

University of Warwick

Discussion Paper No. 321

July 2001

IZA

P.O. Box 7240
D-53072 Bonn
Germany

Tel.: +49-228-3894-0
Fax: +49-228-3894-210
Email: iza@iza.org

This Discussion Paper is issued within the framework of IZA's research area *The Future of Work*. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent, nonprofit limited liability company (Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung) supported by the Deutsche Post AG. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public. The current research program deals with (1) mobility and flexibility of labor markets, (2) internationalization of labor markets and European integration, (3) the welfare state and labor markets, (4) labor markets in transition, (5) the future of work, (6) project evaluation and (7) general labor economics.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character.

ABSTRACT

Is there a Return – Risk Link in Education?*

Risk averse investors have to be compensated in higher expected returns when facing investments with higher risk. Education is an important investment therefore we use the results for 16 countries to test the positive relationship between return to education and the risk involved in this investment. It seems that most of the countries fit the pattern well: higher risk – higher return.

JEL Codes: C29, I21, J24

Keywords: Returns to Education, risk, quantile regression

Pedro Telhado Pereira
Faculdade de Economia
Universidade Nova de Lisboa
Trav. Estevão Pinto
P1099-032 Lisboa
Portugal
Tel.: 351 21 380 16 00
Fax: 351 21 387 11 05
Email: ppereira@uma.pt

* We thank the partners in the project 'PuRE - Public Funding and Private Returns to Education' European Commission TSER project (www.etla.fi/PURE) for providing us the results used in this paper. We thank participants at the PuRE meetings (Paris, Barcelona and Warwick), Banco de Portugal, Ministério das Finanças, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, University of Oxford and ESPE (Bonn) seminars for comments and suggestions and also Ian Walker and Colm Harmon for providing us the US data. The usual disclaimer applies.

1. Introduction

The positive correlation between expected return and risk is a well-studied subject in finance. In his doctoral dissertation, Harry Markowitz developed the basic portfolio theory, which became known as the Capital Asset Price Model (CAPM) - see Markowitz (1952). In this model a linear relationship can be derived between risk and return¹.

From this model we retain the idea that there is a positive relationship between return and risk and test it to education or the investment in Human Capital. So the question we answer in this paper is: is there a positive relationship between return to education and the risk of the investment?

We use micro data for 16 countries for the year of 1995, or the available.² The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present the variables used to measure returns to education and risk and their problems. In section 3 the results are shown. Our conclusions appear in the last section.

2. Returns and risk

To measure the returns to education, the Mincer (1974) equation was estimated for each country and the coefficient of education (corrected by the fact that it is a log-linear relationship) was then utilised. There are several problems with the use of this equation, including:

- 1) There are strong assumptions involved in deriving the equation (see, for instance, Asplund and Pereira (1999))
- 2) The exogeneity of education in the Mincer equation (see, for instance, Card (1999)).

¹ For a discussion of the CAPM model see, for instance, Berndt (1991), Campbell et al. (1997).

As we used data for 16 countries, the Mincer equation³ seems a workable compromise and has been widely used.

To measure the risk of the investment we used the results of quantile regressions (Koenker and Bassett (1978)) on Mincer equation. Instead of adjusting the equation through the average, we used quantile regression to estimate the equation through certain points of the distribution (quantiles). This has the advantage of giving the influence of the covariates at different points of the curve (Pereira and Martins (2000)).

We use the difference between the coefficient of education at the last decile and the first decile⁴ as the measure of the risk (this difference is positive except in one case, so we used the absolute values of this difference as an alternative), as we assumed that people do not know where they will end up in the distribution before entering the labour market (which generally occurs after they finish their studies).

The use of this difference has an advantage when compared with the variance of the OLS returns, as these returns are estimates themselves, and not verified values (as are the returns to assets used in CAPM estimates).

If there is a large difference in the estimated coefficients between the first and last decile, meaning that the return is much higher at the upper than at the lower decile, the individual faces a high risk, as the individual can end up at the lower decile. If the difference is small, there is almost no risk.

² For a description of the data-sets used see Pereira and Martins (2000).

³ $\log y = \alpha + \beta \text{educ} + \delta_1 \text{exp} + \delta_2 \text{exp}^2$ where y is the wage, ed is education and exp is experience in the labor market.

3. Results

The following table shows the results from the estimations:

(insert table 1)

From the table we see that most of the results come from regressions using gross hourly wages as the dependent variable, and are for the year 1995.

To show the positive relationship between return (ols) and risk, we start calculating the correlation coefficient between the return and risk. Its value is high – .57.

From table 1, we construct dummy variables for years ($year_i=1$ if $year=i$, zero otherwise), type of wage ($net=1$, if net wages were used, zero otherwise). dif stands for the difference in returns between the last and first decile, $absdif$ for its absolute value and ols for the OLS Mincer equation coefficient corrected.

We performed OLS estimation with White standard errors (as the dependent variables are estimates, themselves) and obtained the following results:

(insert table 2)

or

(insert table 3)

The coefficients all have the expected signs, even though one of them is not significantly different from zero at a 10% level. This is the case of return found when using net wages instead gross wages; where, as expected, a lower value appears (due to the progressivism of most income tax systems).

⁴ The significance of the difference was tested for several countries and it showed to be significantly different from zero, provided the sample was large enough.

We cannot reject the hypothesis that the years '93, '94 and '95 have the same coefficient at any reasonable significant level, which is a side conclusion that seems interesting in itself, meaning that the risk free alternative return was constant over this time period.

The main finding is the positive relationship between return and risk. There seems to be a positive compensation to “be received” to face the risk of the investment in education.

To avoid the problem of linearity that is implicit in the results above, we performed the following exercise. We ordered the countries by decreasing values of return and by increasing values of risk. We then added the order values. If there was an inverse ordering, the sum would always be 17. We obtained the following result:

(insert table 4)

The average yielded the value of 17. Five out of the sixteen countries add 16 or 17, and most of the results are within one standard error of the average.

The outliers are the cases of Switzerland, Germany (both: high return and low risk) and the US and Sweden (both: low return and relatively high risk). For the rest the more risk individuals face, the higher their average return is, in a certain range.

4. Conclusions

The fact that there is a positive relationship between the return to education and the risk involved in the decision taken was expected, as finance theory predicts. Again education appears to be an investment having properties similar to investments in other assets.

This paper uses a particular measure of risk: the difference in returns in different deciles, to confirm the theory. Therefore, part of the difference of returns in different countries is due to different risks which the individuals face. This appears in a very surprising and intriguing way in the ranking analysis undertaken, as most countries' results are within a standard error of the value we would obtain if there were an inverse ordering between returns and risk.

At this stage using the argument that returns to education in a country are very high to press for increase in student fees can be rather misleading and if accepted can destroy existing equilibriums with unknown consequences.

References

Asplund, R. and P. Pereira, 1999, "An Introduction to the Reviews", in: R. Asplund and P. Pereira, eds, Returns to human capital in Europe: a literature review (ETLA, Helsinki) 1-12.

Berndt, E. R., 1991, The Practice of Econometrics: Classic and Contemporary (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts).

Campbell, J.Y., A.W. Lo and A.C MacKinlay,.1997, The Econometrics of Financial Markets (Princeton University Press, Princeton).

Card, D., 1999, The causal effect of education on earnings, in: O. Ashenfelter and D. Card , eds, Handbook of Labour Economics, vol. 3 (North-Holland, Amsterdam) chap. 30.

Koenker R. and G. Bassett, 1978, Regression Quantiles, *Econometrica*, 46, 33-50.

Markowitz, H. M., 1952, Portfolio Selection, *Journal of Finance*, 7:1, March, 77-91.

Mincer, J., 1974, Schooling, experience and earnings, NBER, New York.

Pereira, P. and P. Martins, 2000, Does Education Reduce Wage Inequality? Quantile Regressions Evidence from Fifteen European Countries, IZA Discussion Paper 120, Bonn.

Tables to be inserted in the text:

Country	Wages	year	OLS return	First decil return	Last decil return	Dif between last and first
Austria	Net	1993	9.7	7.2	12.8	5.6
Denmark	Gross	1995	6.6	6.3	7.1	0.8
Finland	Gross	1993	8.9	6.8	10.1	3.3
France	Gross	1993	7.6	5.9	9.3	3.4
Germany	Gross	1995	8	7.5	7.8	0.3
Greece	Net	1994	6.5	7.5	5.6	-1.9
Ireland	Gross	1994	8.9	7.8	10.4	2.6
Italy	Net	1995	6.4	6.7	7.1	0.4
Netherlands	Gross	1996	7	5.3	8.3	3
Norway	Gross	1995	6	5.5	7.5	2.1
Portugal	Gross	1995	12.6	6.7	15.6	8.9
Spain	Gross	1995	8.6	6.7	9.1	2.4
Sweden	Gross	1991	4.1	2.4	6.2	3.8
Switzerland	Gross	1995	9.5	8.7	10.6	1.9
UK	Gross	1995	8.6	4.9	9.7	4.8
USA	Gross	1995	6.3	3.9	7.9	4

Regression with robust standard errors					Number of obs =	16
					F(5, 9) =	1957.53
					Prob > F =	0.0000
					R-squared =	0.9831
					Root MSE =	1.3989
ols	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
net	-.0594735	.4831846	-0.123	0.905	-1.152513	1.033566
dif	.5565127	.169201	3.289	0.009	.1737533	.939272
year91	1.985252	.642964	3.088	0.013	.5307662	3.439737
year93	6.471456	.9839524	6.577	0.000	4.245601	8.697311
year94	7.534957	.2900354	25.979	0.000	6.878851	8.191063
year95	6.490306	.7973708	8.140	0.000	4.686527	8.294084
year96	5.330462	.5076031	10.501	0.000	4.182184	6.47874

Table 3

Regression with robust standard errors					Number of obs =	16
					F(5, 9) =	51.57
					Prob > F =	0.0000
					R-squared =	0.9818
					Root MSE =	1.4512

ols	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
net	-.5700131	.5674445	-1.005	0.341	-1.853662	.7136356
absdif	.56264	.1762516	3.192	0.011	.1639312	.9613489
year91	1.961968	.6697562	2.929	0.017	.446874	3.477062
year93	6.616513	.9744813	6.790	0.000	4.412083	8.820943
year94	6.719066	.86393	7.777	0.000	4.764721	8.673412
year95	6.529603	.7854792	8.313	0.000	4.752726	8.306481
year96	5.31208	.5287549	10.046	0.000	4.115953	6.508207

Table 4

	OLS	Rank 1	Diff	Rank 2	Sum
Portugal	12.6%	1	8.9%	16	17
Austria	9.7%	2	5.6%	15	17
Switzerland	9.5%	3	1.9%	5	8
Ireland	8.9%	4	2.6%	8	12
Finland	8.9%	5	3.3%	11	16
Spain	8.6%	6	2.4%	7	13
UK	8.6%	7	4.8%	14	21
Germany	8.0%	8	0.3%	2	10
France	7.6%	9	3.4%	10	19
Netherlands	7.0%	10	3.0%	9	19
Greece	6.5%	11	-1.9%	1	12
Denmark	6.6%	12	0.8%	4	16
Italy	6.4%	13	0.4%	3	16
US	6.3%	14	4.0%	13	27
Norway	6.0%	15	2.1%	6	21
Sweden	4.1%	16	3.8%	12	28
Average					17
Standard Deviation					5.5

IZA Discussion Papers

No	Author(s)	Titel	Area	Date
241	W. Koeniger	Trade, Labor Market Rigidities, and Government-Financed Technological Change	2	01/01
242	G. Faggio J. Konings	Job Creation, Job Destruction and Employment Growth in Transition Countries in the 90's	4	01/01
243	E. Brainerd	Economic Reform and Mortality in the Former Soviet Union: A Study of the Suicide Epidemic in the 1990s	4	01/01
244	S. M. Fuess, Jr. M. Millea	Pay and Productivity in a Corporatist Economy: Evidence from Austria	5	01/01
245	F. Andersson K. A. Konrad	Globalization and Human Capital Formation	5	01/01
246	E. Plug W. Vijverberg	Schooling, Family Background, and Adoption: Does Family Income Matter?	5	01/01
247	E. Plug W. Vijverberg	Schooling, Family Background, and Adoption: Is it Nature or is it Nurture?	5	01/01
248	P. M. Picard E. Toulemonde	The Impact of Labor Markets on Emergence and Persistence of Regional Asymmetries	2	01/01
249	B. M. S. van Praag P. Cardoso	"Should I Pay for You or for Myself?" The Optimal Level and Composition of Retirement Benefit Systems	3	01/01
250	T. J. Hatton J. G. Williamson	Demographic and Economic Pressure on Emigration out of Africa	1	01/01
251	R. Yemtsov	Labor Markets, Inequality and Poverty in Georgia	4	01/01
252	R. Yemtsov	Inequality and Income Distribution in Georgia	4	01/01
253	R. Yemtsov	Living Standards and Economic Vulnerability in Turkey between 1987 and 1994	4	01/01
254	H. Gersbach A. Schniewind	Learning of General Equilibrium Effects and the Unemployment Trap	3	02/01
255	H. Gersbach A. Schniewind	Product Market Reforms and Unemployment in Europe	3	02/01

256	T. Boeri H. Brücker	Eastern Enlargement and EU-Labour Markets: Perceptions, Challenges and Opportunities	2	02/01
257	T. Boeri	Transition with Labour Supply	4	02/01
258	M. Rosholm K. Scott L. Husted	The Times They Are A-Changin': Organizational Change and Immigrant Employment Opportunities in Scandinavia	1	02/01
259	A. Ferrer-i-Carbonell B. M.S. van Praag	Poverty in the Russian Federation	4	02/01
260	P. Cahuc F. Postel-Vinay	Temporary Jobs, Employment Protection and Labor Market Performance	1/3	02/01
261	M. Lindahl	Home versus School Learning: A New Approach to Estimating the Effect of Class Size on Achievement	5	02/01
262	M. Lindahl	Summer Learning and the Effect of Schooling: Evidence from Sweden	5	02/01
263	N. Datta Gupta N. Smith	Children and Career Interruptions: The Family Gap in Denmark	5	02/01
264	C. Dustmann	Return Migration, Wage Differentials, and the Optimal Migration Duration	1	02/01
265	M. Rosholm M. Svarer	Structurally Dependent Competing Risks	1	02/01
266	C. Dustmann O. Kirchkamp	The Optimal Migration Duration and Activity Choice after Re-migration	1	02/01
267	A. Newell	The Distribution of Wages in Transition Countries	4	03/01
268	A. Newell B. Reilly	The Gender Pay Gap in the Transition from Communism: Some Empirical Evidence	4	03/01
269	H. Buddelmeyer	Re-employment Dynamics of Disabled Workers	3	03/01
270	B. Augurzky C. M. Schmidt	The Evaluation of Community-Based Interventions: A Monte Carlo Study	6	03/01
271	B. Augurzky C. M. Schmidt	The Propensity Score: A Means to An End	6	03/01

272	C. Belzil J. Hansen	Heterogeneous Returns to Human Capital and Dynamic Self-Selection	5	03/01
273	G. Saint-Paul	Distribution and Growth in an Economy with Limited Needs	5	03/01
274	P. J. Pedersen N. Smith	Unemployment Traps: Do Financial Disincentives Matter?	3	03/01
275	G. S. Epstein T. Lecker	Multi-Generation Model of Immigrant Earnings: Theory and Application	1	03/01
276	B. Amable D. Gatti	The Impact of Product Market Competition on Employment and Wages	5	03/01
277	R. Winter-Ebmer	Evaluating an Innovative Redundancy-Retraining Project: The Austrian Steel Foundation	6	03/01
278	T. M. Andersen	Welfare Policies, Labour Taxation and International Integration	2	04/01
279	T. M. Andersen	Product Market Integration, Wage Dispersion and Unemployment	2	04/01
280	P. Apps R. Rees	Household Saving and Full Consumption over the Life Cycle	7	04/01
281	G. Saint-Paul	Information Technology and the Knowledge Elites	5	04/01
282	J. Albrecht A. Björklund S. Vroman	Is There a Glass Ceiling in Sweden?	5	04/01
283	M. Hagedorn A. Kaul V. Reinthaler	Welfare Analysis in a Schumpeterian Growth Model with Capital	7	04/01
284	H. Rapoport A. Weiss	The Optimal Size for a Minority	1	04/01
285	J. Jerger C. Pohnke A. Spermann	Gut betreut in den Arbeitsmarkt? Eine mikroökonomische Evaluation der Mannheimer Arbeitsvermittlungsagentur	5	04/01
286	M. Fertig C. M. Schmidt	First- and Second-Generation Migrants in Germany –What Do We Know and What Do People Think	1	04/01

287	P. Guggenberger A. Kaul M. Kolmar	Efficiency Properties of Labor Taxation in a Spatial Model of Restricted Labor Mobility	3	04/01
288	D. A. Cobb-Clark	Getting Ahead: The Determinants of and Payoffs to Internal Promotion for Young U.S. Men and Women	5	04/01
289	L. Cameron D. A. Cobb-Clark	Old-Age Support in Developing Countries: Labor Supply, Intergenerational Transfers and Living Arrangements	3	04/01
290	D. A. Cobb-Clark M. D. Connolly C. Worswick	The Job Search and Education Investments of Immigrant Families	1	04/01
291	R. T. Riphahn	Cohort Effects in the Educational Attainment of Second Generation Immigrants in Germany: An Analysis of Census Data	1	05/01
292	E. Wasmer	Between-group Competition in the Labor Market and the Rising Returns to Skill: US and France 1964-2000	5	05/01
293	D. Cobb-Clark T. F. Crossley	Gender, Comparative Advantage and Labor Market Activity in Immigrant Families	1	05/01
294	Š. Jurajda	Estimating the Effect of Unemployment Insurance Compensation on the Labor Market Histories of Displaced Workers	3	05/01
295	F. Duffy P. P. Walsh	Individual Pay and Outside Options: Evidence from the Polish Labour Force Survey	4	05/01
296	H. S. Nielsen M. Rosholm N. Smith L. Husted	Intergenerational Transmissions and the School-to-Work transition of 2 nd Generation Immigrants	1	05/01
297	J. C. van Ours J. Veenman	The Educational Attainment of Second Generation Immigrants in The Netherlands	1	05/01
298	P. Telhado Pereira P. Silva Martins	Returns to Education and Wage Equations	5	06/01
299	G. Brunello C. Lucifora R. Winter-Ebmer	The Wage Expectations of European College Students	5	06/01
300	A. Stutzer R. Lalive	The Role of Social Work Norms in Job Searching and Subjective Well-Being	5	06/01

301	J. R. Frick G.G. Wagner	Economic and Social Perspectives of Immigrant Children in Germany	1	06/01
302	G. S. Epstein A. Weiss	A Theory of Immigration Amnesties	1	06/01
303	G. A. Pfann B. F. Blumberg	Social Capital and the Uncertainty Reduction of Self-Employment	5	06/01
304	P. Cahuc E. Wasmer	Labour Market Efficiency, Wages and Employment when Search Frictions Interact with Intra-firm Bargaining	2	06/01
305	H. Bonin	Fiskalische Effekte der Zuwanderung nach Deutschland: Eine Generationenbilanz	1	06/01
306	H. Bonin G. Abío E. Berenguer J. Gil C. Patxot	Is the Deficit under Control? A Generational Accounting Perspective on Fiscal Policy and Labour Market Trends in Spain	2	06/01
307	G. A. Pfann	Downsizing	1/5	06/01
308	G. A. Pfann D. S. Hamermesh	Two-Sided Learning, Labor Turnover and Worker Displacement	1	06/01
309	G. Brunello	On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe	5	06/01
310	U. Sunde	Human Capital Accumulation, Education and Earnings Inequality	5	06/01
311	G. Brunello	Unemployment, Education and Earnings Growth	3	06/01
312	C. Furnée M. Kemler G. A. Pfann	The Value of Pain Relief	5	06/01
313	A. Ferrer-i-Carbonell B. M.S. van Praag	The Subjective Costs of Health Losses due to Chronic Diseases: An Alternative Model for Monetary Appraisal	7	06/01
314	B. M.S. van Praag A. Ferrer-i-Carbonell	Age-Differentiated QALY Losses	7	06/01

315	W. H. J. Hassink R. Schettkat	On Price-Setting for Identical Products in Markets without Formal Trade Barriers	7	06/01
316	M. Frondel C. M. Schmidt	Rejecting Capital-Skill Complementarity at all Costs	5	06/01
317	R. Winkelmann	Health Care Reform and the Number of Doctor Visits – An Econometric Analysis	7	06/01
318	M. Pannenberg G. G. Wagner	Overtime Work, Overtime Compensation and the Distribution of Economic Well-Being: Evidence for West Germany and Great Britain	1	06/01
319	R. Euwals R. Winkelmann	Why do Firms Train? Empirical Evidence on the First Labour Market Outcomes of Graduated Apprentices	1	06/01
320	R. Fahr U. Sunde	Strategic Hiring Behavior in Empirical Matching Functions	1	06/01
321	P. Telhado Pereira P. Silva Martins	Is there a Return – Risk Link in Education?	5	07/01